Instabilities of the quantum spin ice state





Owen Benton RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science, Wako

Two questions for today

1) When does the U(1) QSL become unstable against spinon condensation?



2) Is there a disorder induced spin liquid in Pr₂Zr₂O₇ and other non-Kramers pyrochlores?

				much and in a
PRL 118, 087203 (2017)	PHYSICAL	REVIEW	LETTERS	24 FEBRUARY 2017
Disorder-Induced Quantum Spin Liquid in Spin Ice Pyrochlores Lucile Savary ^{1,*} and Leon Balents ²				
PRL 118, 107206 (2017)	PHYSICAL	REVIEW	LETTERS	week ending 10 MARCH 2017
Disordered Route to the Coulomb Quantum Spin Liquid: Random Transverse Fields on Spin Ice in Pr ₂ Zr ₂ O ₇				
JJ. Wen, ^{1,2,3} S. M. Koohpayeh, ¹ K. A. Ross, ^{1,4} B. A. Trump, ⁵ T. M. McQueen, ^{1,5,6} K. Kimura, ^{7,8} S. Nakatsuji, ^{7,9} Y. Qiu, ⁴ D. M. Pajerowski, ⁴ J. R. D. Copley, ⁴ and C. L. Broholm ^{1,4,6}				
From quantum spin liquid to paramagnetic ground states in disordered pon-Kramers pyrochlores				

Owen Benton¹ ¹RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama, 351-0198, Japan



arXiv:1706.09238

Spin Ice

Harris et al., PRL 79, 2554 (1997)





Excitations: "magnetic monopoles"





Neutron scattering: pinch points



Experiment (Ho₂Ti₂O₇) Fennell et al, Science **326**, 415 (2009)

Quantum Spin Ice



Quantum Spin Ice





How and when does the U(1) QSL die?



YALA

How does it die?

By condensation of topological excitations

When does it die?

When the gap to these excitations closes

Purpose of this talk:

(1) a controlled perturbative calculation of the phase boundaries of the U(1) QSL in quantum spin ice by considering the gap to topological excitations

(2) application of this calculation to non-Kramers quantum spin ice candidates with quenched structural disorder

Usual Approaches

(1) Gauge Mean Field Theory (gMFT)

Savary & Balents, PRL **108**, 037202 (2012) Lee et al, PRB **86**, 104412 (2012)

$$S_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{+} = \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{+} \Phi_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{+}$$
$$S_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{-} = \Phi_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{-} \Phi_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}^{\dagger}$$

local constraints on spinon operators

spinon operators
$$\Phi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r}}, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}$$

gauge field $\mathbf{s}^{\pm}_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}} \sim e^{iA_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\mu}}}$
 $\Phi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{r}}\Phi_{\mathbf{r}} = 1$

Mean field decoupling gives non-interacting spinon hopping Hamiltonian + constraints enforced on average

-Uncontrolled treatment of constraints -Tendency to overestimate QSL regime

(2) Numerics



Banerjee et al, PRL **100**, 047208 (2008) Shannon et al, PRL **108**, 067204 (2012) Kato & Onoda, PRL **115**, 077202 (2015)

-QMC not always possible (sign problems)-Many other methods are limited to small system size-Can be hard to interpret

An alternative method

Perturbation theory in manifolds of classical monopole (spinon) states

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathsf{S}_{i}^{z} \mathsf{S}_{j}^{z} + V[\{\mathsf{S}_{i}^{x}, \mathsf{S}_{j}^{y}\}]$$

Finding lowest energy state containing M spinons in a system of N_t tetrahedra

 $\rho = \frac{M}{N_t} << 1$

Expand energy of system in terms of spinon density

$$E(\rho) = N_t \left[\epsilon_0(J, V) + \epsilon_1(J, V)\rho + \epsilon_2(J, V)\rho^2 + \dots \right]$$

Calculate coefficient of linear term using perturbation theory in V

Change in sign of ε_1 +ve to -ve means that it becomes energetically for spinons to proliferate



Perturbation theory in manifolds of classical monopole (spinon) states

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= J_{zz} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z - J_{\pm} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right) & J_{\pm} > 0 \\ \end{aligned} \\ \mathbf{State with M spinons} \\ \mathbf{Classical energy (0^{th} order PT)} \\ E &= E_0 + M \frac{J_{zz}}{2} = E_0 + N_t \rho \frac{J_{zz}}{2}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{J_{zz}}{2} \\ \end{aligned} \\ \mathbf{First order PT: Effective Hamiltonian} \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{eff}}^{(1)} = -J_{\pm}\mathcal{P}_M$$

 $\left| \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right| \mathcal{P}_M$

projector onto manifold of state containing M spinons

What is the ground state of the effective Hamiltonian?



As long as spinons are well separated (assume low density $\rho < <1$), each one has 6 neighbouring bonds that can be flipped to propagate the monopole



PHYSICAL REVIEW B 94, 104401 (2016)

Free coherent spinons in quantum square ice

Stefanos Kourtis Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

Claudio Castelnovo TCM Group, Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

Ground state is equal weight sum over all M spinon states is an eigenstate of Hamiltonian



Total energy of ground state for M spinons

$$|\phi_0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_M}} \sum_{|\alpha\rangle \in \{|M\rangle\}} |\alpha\rangle$$

 $J_{\pm}^{(c)} = \frac{J_{zz}}{12} \approx 0.083 J_{zz}$

$$E = E_0 + M\left(\frac{J_{zz}}{2} - 6J_{\pm} + \mathcal{O}(J_{\pm}^2)\right) = E_0 + N_t \rho\left(\frac{J_{zz}}{2} - 6J_{\pm} + \mathcal{O}(J_{\pm}^2)\right)$$

cf.
$$E(\rho) = N_t \left[\epsilon_0(J, V) + \epsilon_1(J, V)\rho + \epsilon_2(J, V)\rho^2 + \dots \right]$$
$$\longrightarrow \quad \epsilon_1 = \frac{J_{zz}}{2} - 6J_{\pm} + \mathcal{O}(J_{\pm}^2)$$

Estimate of ground state instability to first order:



$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{J_{zz}}{2} - 6J_{\pm} + \mathcal{O}(J_{\pm}^2)$$

 $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{eff}}^{(2)} = \mathcal{P}_M V \frac{1 - \mathcal{P}_M}{\mathcal{H}_0} V \mathcal{P}_M$

Corrections to first order hopping process:



Second order corrections to energy

$$V = -J_{\pm} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right]$$
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J_{zz} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z$$

Modifies hopping matrix element of spinons

$$-J_{\pm} \to -J_{\pm} \left(1 + \frac{J_{\pm}}{J_{zz}}\right)$$

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{J_{zz}}{2} - 6J_{\pm} + \mathcal{O}(J_{\pm}^2)$$

Second order corrections to energy

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{eff}}^{(2)} = \mathcal{P}_M V \frac{1 - \mathcal{P}_M}{\mathcal{H}_0} V \mathcal{P}_M$$

$$V = -J_{\pm} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right]$$
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J_{zz} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z$$



Equal weight superposition of all spinon states is still an eigenstate of effective Hamiltonian

$$|\phi_0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_M}} \sum_{|\alpha\rangle \in \{|M\rangle\}} |\alpha\rangle$$

$$E(\rho) = E_0 - 4N_t \frac{J_{\pm}^2}{J_{zz}} + \rho N_t \left(\frac{J_{zz}}{2} - 6J_{\pm} - 28\frac{J_{\pm}^2}{J_{zz}}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2, J_{\pm}^3)$$

Instability of ground state occurs when coefficient of p changes sign

$$J_{\pm}^{(c)} = \frac{1}{28} \left(\sqrt{23} - 3\right) J_{zz} \approx 0.064 J_{zz}$$



A more general model

full

CMI-3/4

CMI-1/2

CMI-1/4

empty

0.05

0.00

Quantum spin ice in a staggered field, coupling uniformly to S^z

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[J_{zz} S_i^z S_j^z - J_{\pm} \left(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right) \right] - h \sum_i S_i^z$$

Equivalently: hardcore bosons with nearest neighbour repulsion on the pyrochlore lattice

$$\mathcal{H}_{b} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[V n_{i} n_{j} - t \left(b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{j} + b_{i} b_{j}^{\dagger} \right) \right] - \mu \sum_{i} n_{i}$$

QMC: three separate Coulomb spin liquids with different filling factors Calculate superfluid instability from closing of spinon gap in PT

Superfluid

0.10 0.15



A more general model

Quantum spin ice in a staggered field, coupling uniformly to S^z

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[J_{zz} S_i^z S_j^s - J_{\pm} \left(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right) \right] - h \sum_i S_i^z$$

Equivalently: hardcore bosons with nearest neighbour repulsion on the pyrochlore lattice

$$\mathcal{H}_{b} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[V n_{i} n_{j} - t \left(b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{j}^{\dagger} + b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{j}^{\dagger} \right) \right] - \mu \sum_{i} n_{i}$$

QMC: three separate Coulomb spin liquids with different filling factors



Comparison with QMC simulations of spinon dynamics



The story so far

We have illustrated a perturbation theory calculation of the point at which the U(1) QSL of quantum spin ice becomes unstable against spinon condensation

The calculation gives excellent agreement with published QMC studies of the unfrustrated XXZ/hardcore boson model on the pyrochlore lattice



But the XXZ model is already quite well studied.... where else can we apply this?

Random Transverse Field Ising Model



Why is this model of interest?

Model for non-Kramers doublets in the presence of weak structural disorder



$Pr_2Zr_2O_7$



Distribution of transverse fields in Pr₂Zr₂O₇

PRL 118, 107206 (2017) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 10 MARCH 2017

Disordered Route to the Coulomb Quantum Spin Liquid: Random Transverse Fields on Spin Ice in Pr₂ Zr₂O₇

J.-J. Wen,^{1,2,3} S. M. Koohpayeh,¹ K. A. Ross,^{1,4} B. A. Trump,⁵ T. M. McQueen,^{1,5,6} K. Kimura,^{7,8} S. Nakatsuji,^{7,9} Y. Qiu,⁴ D. M. Pajerowski,⁴ J. R. D. Copley,⁴ and C. L. Broholm^{1,4,6}

Inelastic neutron scattering in applied [100] field



Localized spin excitations with a broad distribution of gaps

Distribution of transverse fields in Pr₂Zr₂O₇



Is Pr₂Zr₂O₇ a disorder induced QSL?

PRL 118, 107206 (2017)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 10 MARCH 2017

Disordered Route to the Coulomb Quantum Spin Liquid: Random Transverse Fields on Spin Ice in Pr₂ Zr₂O₇

J.-J. Wen,^{1,2,3} S. M. Koohpayeh,¹ K. A. Ross,^{1,4} B. A. Trump,⁵ T. M. McQueen,^{1,5,6} K. Kimura,^{7,8} S. Nakatsuji,^{7,9} Y. Qiu,⁴ D. M. Pajerowski,⁴ J. R. D. Copley,⁴ and C. L. Broholm^{1,4,6}

Suffice it to say that \mathcal{H} , which contains only the longitudinal Ising interactions, provides an acceptable account of the data.

QSI is expected to be stable to all local perturbations, despite being gapless [2]. A recent theoretical study of \mathcal{H} shows random transverse fields induce quantum entanglement and two distinct QSLs [5]. The gapless nature of the spectrum [Fig. 1(b)] and the spin-ice-like correlations reported here (Fig. 3) and in previous studies [4] preclude a trivial paramagnet and point to a disorder induced QSL in PZO.

Given the importance of random transverse fields that we





Is Pr₂Zr₂O₇ a disorder induced QSL?

PRL 118, 107206 (2017) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 10 MARCH 2017

Disordered Route to the Coulomb Quantum Spin Liquid: Random Transverse Fields on Spin Ice in Pr₂ Zr₂O₇

J.-J. Wen,^{1,2,3} S. M. Koohpayeh,¹ K. A. Ross,^{1,4} B. A. Trump,⁵ T. M. McQueen,^{1,5,6} K. Kimura,^{7,8} S. Nakatsuji,^{7,9} Y. Qiu,⁴ D. M. Pajerowski,⁴ J. R. D. Copley,⁴ and C. L. Broholm^{1,4,6}

To answer this from a theory point of view we want to know two things

1) What is the stability regime of the U(1) QSL in the random transverse field Ising model on the pyrochlore lattice?

Perturbation theory calculation is well suited to answer this: -constructed in real space not momentum space, doesn't rely on translational symmetry -by considering state of M spinons 1<<M<<Nt have "self-averaging" of spinon environments, and obtain result which depends only on average and variance of h

2) What is the strength of interaction J_{zz} and distribution of transverse field $p(h_i)$ in currently studied samples of $Pr_2Zr_2O_7$?

Can find out by comparing thermodynamic data with Numerical Linked Cluster (NLC) Calculations



First order perturbation theory $\mathcal{H}_1^{(M)} = \mathcal{P}_M V \mathcal{P}_M$ Column sum: Every spinon surrounded by 3 flippable spins $\sum_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{H}_{1}^{(M)} \right)_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \text{flippable}} h$ average of h h_i For 1<<M<<Nt Equal weight superposition of all M spinon states is a good eigenstate for 1<<M<<Nt $|\phi_M\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_M}} \sum_{|\alpha\rangle \in |\{M\}\rangle} |\alpha\rangle$

Second order perturbation theory

$$\mathcal{H}_{2}^{(M)} = -\mathcal{P}_{M}V \frac{1-\mathcal{P}_{M}}{\mathcal{H}_{0} - (E_{0}^{\mathsf{cl}} + M\frac{J_{zz}}{2})}V\mathcal{P}_{M}$$

Diagonal contributions from flipping $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{H}_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}^{(M)}\right)_{\alpha\alpha} = -\frac{N_{t}}{2J_{zz}}\overline{h^{2}} + \frac{3M}{8J_{zz}}\overline{h^{2}}$$

Second order spinon hopping



Possible on 6 nearby bonds for every spinon ($M < < N_t$)

Matrix element

$$-\frac{h_k h_l}{4J}$$

Second order perturbation theory

2

Lowest energy state for M spinons

$$|\phi_M\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_M}} \sum_{|\alpha\rangle \in |\{M\}\rangle} |\alpha\rangle$$

$$E(M) = E_0^{\mathsf{cl}} - \frac{N_t}{2J_{zz}}\overline{h^2} + M\left(\frac{J_{zz}}{2}\right)$$

 \boldsymbol{Z}

nearest neighbour correlation function of random fields

 $-\frac{3\overline{h}}{2} + \frac{3\overline{h^2}}{8} - \frac{3\overline{h_k}h_l}{2}$

Instability determined by coefficient of M

Take ur

$$\frac{J_{zz}}{2} - \frac{3\overline{h}}{2} + \frac{3\overline{h^2}}{8} - \frac{3\overline{h_k}h_l}{2} = 0$$

necorrelated case
$$\overline{h_k}h_l = \overline{h}^2 \qquad \delta h = \sqrt{\overline{h^2} - \overline{h}^2}$$
$$\frac{J_{zz}}{2} - \frac{3\overline{h}}{2} + \frac{7\delta h^2 - 5\overline{h}^2}{2} = 0$$

 \boldsymbol{Z}

 δJ

Stability regime of U(1) QSL

Stability criterion in terms of average and standard deviation of distribution of transverse fields



Is Pr₂Zr₂O₇ a disorder induced QSL?

PRL 118, 107206 (2017) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 10 MARCH 2017

Disordered Route to the Coulomb Quantum Spin Liquid: Random Transverse Fields on Spin Ice in Pr₂ Zr₂O₇

J.-J. Wen,^{1,2,3} S. M. Koohpayeh,¹ K. A. Ross,^{1,4} B. A. Trump,⁵ T. M. McQueen,^{1,5,6} K. Kimura,^{7,8} S. Nakatsuji,^{7,9} Y. Qiu,⁴ D. M. Pajerowski,⁴ J. R. D. Copley,⁴ and C. L. Broholm^{1,4,6}

To answer this from a theory point of view we want to know two things

 What is the stability regime of the U(1) QSL in the random transverse field Ising model on the pyrochlore lattice?



2) What is the strength of interaction J_{zz} and distribution of transverse field p(h_i) in currently studied samples of Pr₂Zr₂O₇?

Can find out by comparing thermodynamic data with Numerical Linked Cluster (NLC) Calculations



Numerical Linked Cluster (NLC) calculations

Estimating quantities in the thermodynamic limit from a series of exact diagonalizations of small clusters [1]



Estimate of extensive quantity O per site in terms of cluster multiplicities L and weights W

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle}{N} = \sum_{n} L_{n} W_{n}; \qquad W_{n} = \langle O \rangle_{c_{n}} - \sum_{s \subset n} W_{s}$$

Disorder averages can be taken
term by term in expansion
$$\overline{W}_{n} = \overline{\langle O \rangle}_{c_{n}} - \sum_{s \subset n} \overline{W}_{s}$$

[1] Rigol et al, PRL 97, 187202 (2006); [2] Tang et al, PRB 91, 174413 (2015)

term by

NLC description of thermodynamics in Pr₂Zr₂O₇

Reasonable description of thermodynamics obtained with parameterisation:



NLC description of thermodynamics in Pr₂Zr₂O₇

Reasonable description of thermodynamics obtained with parameterisation:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{RTFIM}} = J_{zz} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z - \sum_i h_i S_i^x$$
$$p(h) = \frac{2\Gamma}{\pi} \frac{1}{h^2 + \Gamma^2} \quad \Gamma = 0.38 \text{meV} \quad J_{zz} = 0.08 \text{meV} \quad g_z = 4.9$$



NLC description of thermodynamics in Pr₂Zr₂O₇



Comparison to scattering data in [100] field

Onsite correlation function calculated for central spin of 7-site cluster in ED

$$\overline{C}_{ii}(\omega) = 4 \sum_{|\alpha\rangle} \overline{|\langle 0|S_i^z|\alpha\rangle|^2 \delta(\omega - E_\alpha)}$$

Compared to q-integrated scattering data from [1] Wen et al, PRL **118**, 107206 (2017)



What does this model suggest about the ground state of Pr₂Zr₂O₇?









What does this model suggest about the ground state of Pr₂Zr₂O₇? $J_{zz} = 0.08 \text{meV}$ $\Gamma = 0.38 \mathrm{meV}$ Series of distributions $p(h) = \frac{1}{\arctan\left(\frac{h_{max}}{\Gamma}\right)} \frac{1}{\Gamma^2 + h^2}$ $h \in [0, h_{max}]$ $h_{max} = 0.08 \text{meV}$ 0.5 In Pr₂Zr₂O₇ the distribution 40 extends up to at least 2 meV: 0.4 deep in PM phase 00 (h, h_{max}) 01 (h Stable U(1) QSL 0.3 *ν* 0.2 0.1 Paramagnet 0.000.04 0.06 80.0 0.10 0.0 h (meV) 0.2 0.1 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.0 \overline{h}

45

Is this consistent with scattering data?

PRL 118, 107206 (2017)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 10 MARCH 2017

Disordered Route to the Coulomb Quantum Spin Liquid: Random Transverse Fields on Spin Ice in Pr₂ Zr₂O₇

J.-J. Wen,^{1,2,3} S. M. Koohpayeh,¹ K. A. Ross,^{1,4} B. A. Trump,⁵ T. M. McQueen,^{1,5,6} K. Kimura,^{7,8} S. Nakatsuji,^{7,9} Y. Qiu,⁴ D. M. Pajerowski,⁴ J. R. D. Copley,⁴ and C. L. Broholm^{1,4,6}

Suffice it to say that \mathcal{H} , which contains only the longitudinal Ising interactions, provides an acceptable account of the data.

QSI is expected to be stable to all local perturbations, despite being gapless [2]. A recent theoretical study of \mathcal{H} shows random transverse fields induce quantum entanglement and two distinct QSLs [5]. The gapless nature of the spectrum [Fig. 1(b)] and the spin-ice-like correlations reported here (Fig. 3) and in previous studies [4] preclude a trivial paramagnet and point to a disorder induced QSL in PZO.

Given the importance of random transverse fields that we

Is a paramagnetic ground state precluded by scattering data?

Dynamics from exact diagonalization

Calculate real space correlations as a function of energy in 16-site ED within parameterised model

$$\overline{C}_{ij}(\omega) = 4 \overline{\sum_{|\alpha\rangle}} \langle 0|S_i^z|\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|S_j^z|0\rangle \delta(\omega - E_\alpha)$$



Dynamics from exact diagonalization $S(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{1}{N_{uc}} \sum_{i,j} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}_j - (\hat{\mathbf{z}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) (\hat{\mathbf{z}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \right) \overline{C}_{ij}(\omega) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r})}$



What about other Pr pyrochlores?

Can evaluate prospects by looking at shape of heat capacity curves



What about other Pr pyrochlores?

Can evaluate prospects by looking at shape of heat capacity curves



Conclusions

Perturbation theory calculation of energy cost of introducing spinons is an effective means of finding ground state instability of U(1) QSL phase of quantum spin ice

Parameterising a random transverse field Ising model for Pr₂Zr₂O₇ leads to the conclusion that currently studied samples are deep within a topologically trivial paramagnetic phase

Pr₂Sn₂O₇ is a good candidate for further investigation in the light of the disorder induced QSL scenario

From quantum spin liquid to paramagnetic ground states in disordered non-Kramers pyrochlores

Owen Benton¹ ¹RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama, 351-0198, Japan

arXiv:1706.09238

Thanks for listening!