Mechanical properties of glasses: Rearrangements, reversibility, and ductility

Corey S. O'Hern Department of Mechanical Engineering & Materials Science Department of Physics Department of Applied Physics Yale University



Meng Fan, Yale



Minglei Wang, Yale



Jan Schroers, Yale



Mark D. Shattuck CCNY

NSF MRSEC DMR-1119826 and CMMI-1462439

CRISP Center for Research on Interface Structures & Phenomena





Cooling rate-dependent mechanical response



Mechanical Response to Pure Shear



γ=0.2

Athermal, quasistatic "pure shear" at constant pressure

Kob-Andersen binary Lennard-Jones mixtures

$$U(r_{ij}) = 4\varepsilon_{ij} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right]$$

$$\epsilon_{AA}=1.0, \ \epsilon_{AB}=1.5, \ \epsilon_{BB}=0.5$$

 $\sigma_{AA}=1.0, \ \sigma_{AB}=0.8, \ \sigma_{BB}=0.88$

 $T(t) = T_0 - Rt$ $T_f = 0$ $10^{-6} < R < 1$

Stress Tensor

$$\widehat{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xz} \\ \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yz} \\ \sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{yz} & \sigma_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j} U(r_{ij})$$
$$\vec{F}_i = \vec{\nabla}_i U(r_{ij})$$
$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = V^{-1} \sum_{i < j} r_{ij\alpha} F_{ij\beta}$$



Particle Rearrangement Events





Cylindrical samples, 2 mm in diameter and 4 mm in length, have been compressed along their 4-mm axis at fixed strain rates and at room temperature, 298 K. Insets show sudden drops in the applied stress, as the ingots are compressed at various constant strain rates. These stress drops indicate the occurrence of slip avalanches in the material.

"Tuned critical avalanche scaling in bulk metallic glasses," J. Antonaglia, X. Xie, G. Schwarz, M. Wraith, J. Qiao, Y. Zhang, P. K. Liaw, J. T. Uhl, and K. A. Dahmen, Scientific Reports 4 (2014) 4382.

$$\sigma(\gamma) = \sigma_{\text{elastic}}(\gamma) - \sigma_{\text{loss}}(\gamma) - \sigma'_{\text{loss}}(\gamma)$$
$$U(\gamma) = U_{\text{elastic}}(\gamma) - U_{\text{loss}}(\gamma) - U'_{\text{loss}}(\gamma)$$

Rearrangements







Rearrangements







Figure 5: Ensemble-averaged (a) rearrangement frequency $\langle dN_r/d\gamma \rangle$, (b) stress loss per rearrangement $\langle d\sigma_{\rm loss}/dN_r \rangle$, and (c) rearrangement-induced stress loss per (1%) strain $\langle d\sigma_{\rm loss}/d\gamma \rangle$ plotted versus strain γ for glasses undergoing AQS pure shear. The glasses were prepared using cooling rates $R = 10^{-1}$ (crosses), 10^{-2} (plus signs), 10^{-3} (squares), 10^{-4} (upward triangles), 10^{-5} (circles), and 10^{-6} (downward triangles). All data is obtained by averaging over 500 independent samples with N = 2000.



Figure 6: Ensemble-averaged (a) softening-induced stress loss per (1%) strain $\langle d\sigma'_{\rm loss}/d\gamma \rangle$ and (b) local slope of the continuous stress versus strain segments $\langle G(\gamma) \rangle$ plotted versus strain γ for cooling rates $R = 10^{-1}$ (red), 10^{-2} (orange), 10^{-3} (yellow), 10^{-4} (green), 10^{-5} (cyan), and 10^{-6} (blue). All data is obtained by averaging over 500 configurations with N = 2000.



Figure 7: Ensemble-averaged (a) elastic stress $\sigma_{\text{elastic}}(\gamma)$ (solid), rearrangement-induced stress loss $\sigma_{\text{loss}}(\gamma)$ (dashed), and softening-induced stress loss $\sigma'_{\text{loss}}(\gamma)$ (dotted) plotted versus strain γ . (b) Ensemble-averaged stress $\langle \sigma(\gamma) \rangle$ and the stress σ_{total} obtained by combining the elastic stress, and the rearrangement- and softening-induced stress losses (Eq. 3) plotted versus γ . In both (a) and (b), the system size N = 2000, and six cooling rates, $R = 10^{-1}$ (red), 10^{-2} (orange), 10^{-3} (yellow), 10^{-4} (green), 10^{-5} (cyan), and 10^{-6} (blue) are shown.



System-size scaling of rearrangements

0.5

0.15

0.12

0.12

0.1





Athermal, quasistatic simple shear at constant pressure in granular materials





Figure 1: Jamming phase diagrams in the T = 0 plane.

a, Original Liu–Nagel jamming phase diagram¹. The boundary between unjammed and jammed regions is the yield stress line. Unjamming can be induced by decreasing the packing fraction or increasing the shear stress. **b**, Generalized jamming diagram including the shear-jammed (SJ) and fragile (F) states. Along the φ axis, there are two special densities: φ_S , below which there is no shear jamming, and φ_J , above which isotropically jammed states exist. For $\varphi_S \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_J$, jamming can occur with application of shear stress.

"Jamming by shear," D. Bi, J. Zhang, B. Chakraborty, and R. P. Behringer, Nature 480 (2011) 355.

Shear stress of jammed packings from isotropic compression



Jammed packings generated using isotropic compression



Jammed packings generated through simple shear









Conclusions

- Nonlinear mechanical response stems from rearrangement- and softening-induced losses
- Both are small below yield stress and increase rapidly near yielding
- Both contributions remain finite in large system limit
- Identified several signatures of yielding transition
- Develop ability to theoretically predict yield stress for a given preparation protocol

Reversibility





Reversible

Irreversible





...but the critical strains still tend to zero in large-system limit. Can we increase strain range over which system is reversible?



Cyclic shear can increase strain over which system is reversible



of cycles required to reach steady state

Finite region of strain where system is reversible in large-system limit

N	(γ*) _{ss}	(γ ₁) _{ss}	ratio
250	0.1126	0.02029	0.1802
500	0.08537	0.01587	0.1859
1000	0.07243	0.01322	0.1825

Application to high-Q metallic glasses



Brittle-Ductile Continuum





Ratio of shear to bulk moduli





Add energy drops prior to yield



Conclusions

- Identify precursor events that relieve stress/energy and make system less prone to failure.
- How do we make simulation samples brittle? Do we need much larger samples?