

Machine Learning and Many-Body Physics @KITS, UCAS, Beijing 7 July, 2017

### Bayesian spectral deconvolution: How many peaks are there in this spectrum?

Satoru Tokuda MathAM-OIL, AIST

<u>ST</u>, K. Nagata and M. Okada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*. 86, 024001, 2017. (arXiv:1607.07590)

1



#### Collaborators





Kenji Nagata AIRC, AIST Masato Okada UTokyo/NIMS

<u>ST</u>, K. Nagata and M. Okada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*. 86, 024001, 2017. (arXiv:1607.07590)



#### Spectroscopy



Planetary science

Some pictures have been removed from the original version due to copyright.



#### Effective model of spectrum

$$f(x;w) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \phi_k(x;\widetilde{w}_k), \quad w = \{a_k,\widetilde{w}_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$$

$$\phi_k(x; \widetilde{w}_k) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu_k)^2}{2\rho_k^2}\right) \\ \frac{1}{(x-\mu_k)^2 + \gamma_k^2} \end{cases}$$

Physical parameters

*K*: Peak number  $\mu_k$ : Energy level  $a_k$ : Number density  $\varrho_k$ : Temperature  $\gamma_k$ : Lifetime Thermal Doppler broadening

Natural broadening





#### How many peaks are there?





## Peak fitting: Learning in radial basis function network





## Peak fitting: Learning in radial basis function network





## Peak fitting: Learning in radial basis function network





#### **Bayesian spectral deconvolution**

[Nagata et al., *Neural Netw.*, 2012]



9



### Overfitting: Underrate unknown noise



AIST-TohokuU Mathematics for Advanced Materials-OIL (MathAM-OIL)



#### Underfitting: Overrate unknown noise



AIST-TohokuU Mathematics for Advanced Materials-OIL (MathAM-OIL)



### Aim of this study

 Propose a framework that enables the joint estimate of peak number and noise variance from the observed spectrum by modifying the previous framework of Bayesian spectral deconvolution



<u>ST</u>, K. Nagata and M. Okada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*. 86, 024001, 2017. (arXiv:1607.07590)



#### Model: The forward problem



#### Effective model of spectrum

$$f(x;w) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \phi_k(x;\widetilde{w}_k), \quad w = \{a_k,\widetilde{w}_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$$

$$\phi_k(x; \widetilde{w}_k) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu_k)^2}{2\rho_k^2}\right) \\ \frac{1}{(x-\mu_k)^2 + \gamma_k^2} \end{cases}$$

Physical parameters

*K*: Peak number  $\mu_k$ : Energy level  $a_k$ : Number density  $\varrho_k$ : Temperature  $\gamma_k$ : Lifetime Thermal Doppler broadening

Natural broadening



#### Statistical model of spectroscopy

 $D = \{X_i, Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  Measured data  $X_i = X_{i-1} + \Delta x \quad (\Delta x > 0)$  Sample points  $Y_i = f(X_i; w) + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \sim N(f(X_i; w), b^{-1})$  Additive white Gaussian noise  $b^{-1}$ : Noise variance Measurement parameters  $\Delta x$ : Energy resolution Sample points Additive noise Measured data -f(x;w) $f(X_i;w)$  $X_{i}$ 





$$D = \{X_i, Y_i\}_{i=1}^n \quad \text{Measured data}$$

$$X_i = X_{i-1} + \Delta x \quad (\Delta x > 0) \quad \text{Sample points}$$

$$Y_i = f(X_i; w) + \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \sim N(f(X_i; w), b^{-1}) \quad \text{Additive white Gaussian noise}$$

$$\boxed{\text{Measurement}} \quad b^{-1}: \text{Noise variance}$$

$$\boxed{\text{Measurement}} \quad \Delta x: \text{Energy resolution}$$

$$\text{Statistical model:} \quad p(Y_i | X_i; w) \coloneqq \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{2}(Y_i - f(X_i; w))^2\right)$$

$$\text{Likelihood:} \quad p\left(Y^n | X^n, w, b\right) \coloneqq \prod_{i=1}^n p(Y_i | X_i, w, b) = \left(\frac{b}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-nbE_n(w))$$

$$\text{Square error:} \quad E_n(w) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - f(X_i; w))^2$$



## Bayesian formulation:

The inverse problem



#### **Bayesian inference**

Bayes'  
theorem 
$$p(A|B) = \frac{p(B|A)p(A)}{p(B)}$$

$$\because p(A,B) = p(A|B)p(B) = p(B|A)p(A)$$

$$p(B) = \begin{cases} \sum_{A} p(B|A)p(A) \\ \int dAp(B|A)p(A) \end{cases}$$

(as *A* is discrete-valued)

(as A is continuous-valued)

Not only the effect *B* but also the cause *A* is treated as random variable to estimate p(A|B)



Trace back to the cause issuing from its effect



### Bayesian hierarchical modeling





[1] Regression  $p(w|D,K,b) = \frac{p(Y^n|X^n,w,b)p(w|K)}{p(Y^n|X^n,K,b)}$   $p(Y^n|X^n,w,b) = \left(\frac{b}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \exp(-nbE_n(w))$   $p(Y^n|X^n,K,b) = \int dwp(Y^n|X^n,w,b)p(w|K)$ 

 $X_{i}$ [2] Model Selection  $p(K,b|D) = \frac{p(Y^{n}|X^{n},K,b)p(K)p(b)}{p(Y^{n}|X^{n})}$   $p(Y^{n}|X^{n}) = \sum_{K} \int db p(Y^{n}|X^{n},K,b)p(K)p(b)$   $(\widehat{K},\widehat{b}) \coloneqq \arg\max_{K,b} p(Y^{n}|X^{n},K,b)$ 



#### **Posterior distribution**



Solution space including least-squares solution

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Posterior distribution

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

What is the optimal pair of K and b?

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Bayesian hierarchical modeling

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

[1] Regression  $p(w|D,K,b) = \frac{p(Y^n|X^n,w,b)p(w|K)}{p(Y^n|X^n,K,b)}$   $p(Y^n|X^n,w,b) = \left(\frac{b}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \exp(-nbE_n(w))$   $p(Y^n|X^n,K,b) = \int dwp(Y^n|X^n,w,b)p(w|K)$ 

[2] Model Selection  

$$p(K,b|D) = \frac{p(Y^n|X^n,K,b)p(K)p(b)}{p(Y^n|X^n)}$$

$$p(Y^n|X^n) = \sum_{K} \int db p(Y^n|X^n,K,b)p(K)p(b)$$

$$(\widehat{K},\widehat{b}) \coloneqq \arg\max_{K,b} p(Y^n|X^n,K,b)$$

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Bayes free energy

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Mathematical correspondence

Statistical physics

Bayesian inference

$$Z_{N}(\beta) = \int d\omega \exp(-N\beta H_{N}(\omega)) \quad \widetilde{Z}_{n}(b) = \int d\omega \exp(-nbE_{n}(\omega))p(\omega)$$
$$F_{N}(\beta) = -\frac{1}{\beta}\log Z_{N}(\beta) \quad \widetilde{F}_{n}(b) = -\frac{1}{b}\log \widetilde{Z}_{n}(b)$$

- N: Particle number
- $H_N$ : Hamiltonian
  - $\beta$ : Inverse temperature

- n: Sample size
- $E_n$ : Square error
  - b: Inverse noise variance

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Mathematical correspondence

Statistical physics

Bayesian inference

Utilize the calculation methods of free energy in statistical physics for Bayesian inference thanks to their mathematical equivalence

N: Particle number

- *H<sub>N</sub>*: Hamiltonian
  - $\beta$ : Inverse temperature

*n*: Sample size *E<sub>n</sub>*: Square error *b*: Inverse noise variance

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Thermodynamic integration

$$F_{n}(K,b) \coloneqq -\log p(Y^{n}|X^{n},K,b)$$

$$= b\widetilde{F}_{n}(K,b) - \frac{n}{2}(\log b - \log 2\pi)$$

$$\widetilde{F}_{n}(K,b) \coloneqq -\frac{1}{b}\log \int dw \exp(-nbE_{n}(w))\varphi(w|K)$$

$$= \frac{1}{b}\int_{0}^{b}db' \langle nE_{n}(w) \rangle_{b'}$$

$$\langle E_{n}(w) \rangle_{b'} \coloneqq \int dw E_{n}(w)p(w|D,K,b')$$

$$\left[ (\widehat{K},\widehat{b}) \coloneqq \arg \max p(Y^{n}|X^{n},K,b) \right]_{K,b} = \arg \min F_{n}(K,b)$$

Need to compute "thermal average"

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Exchange Monte Carlo method [Hukushima & Nemoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 1996]

$$p(\{w_l\}_{l=1}^L | D, K, \{b_l\}_{l=1}^L) = \prod_{l=1}^L p(w_l | D, K, b_l)$$

$$0 = b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_L = b_{\max}$$

1. State update (Metropolis type)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$w_l^t \Longrightarrow w_l^{t+1}$$

2. State exchange (Metropolis type)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Exchange Monte Carlo method [Hukushima & Nemoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 1996]

$$p(\{w_l\}_{l=1}^{L} | D, K, \{b_l\}_{l=1}^{L}) = \prod_{l=1}^{L} p(w_l | D, K, b_l)$$

$$0 = b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_L = b_{\max}$$

$$\langle E_n(w) \rangle_{b_l} = \frac{1}{M_l} \sum_{t=1}^{M_l} E_n(w_l^t)$$

### Advantages Fast relaxation and good match for parallel computing

**Disadvantages** Only a finite number *L* of candidates of the optimal value  $b = \hat{b} \in [0, b_{max}]$ , which minimize  $F_n(K, b)$ 

#### How about interpolation?

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Multiple histogram method [Ferrenberg & Swendsen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1989]

$$\widetilde{Z}_{n}(K,b) \coloneqq \int dw \exp(-nbE_{n}(w))\varphi(w|K)$$

$$= \int dEg(E) \exp(-nbE)$$

$$\int dw \delta(E - E_{n}(w))\varphi(w|K)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} N_{l}(E)}{\sum_{l'=1}^{L} M_{l'}\widetilde{Z}_{n}(K,b_{l'})^{-1} \exp(nb_{l'}E)}$$

$$N_{l}(E) \coloneqq \text{Histogram of square error}$$

$$M_{l} \qquad : \text{ Sample size of MCMC}$$

$$= \int dw \delta(E - E_{n}(w))\varphi(w|K)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L} N_{l}(E)}{\sum_{l'=1}^{L} M_{l'}\widetilde{Z}_{n}(K,b_{l'})^{-1} \exp(nb_{l'}E)}$$

$$Small \qquad b_{1} \qquad b_{2} \qquad b_{3} \qquad Large$$

$$M_{l} \qquad : \text{ Sample size of MCMC}$$

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Demonstration:**

#### Why the joint estimate of peak number and noise variance

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Simulation

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Simulation

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Result

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Joint estimate of peak number and noise variance

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Estimate correct peak number with accurate noise variance

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Posterior probability of peak number

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

Discuss physical validity with these probabilities

# Inseparability of peak number and noise variance

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Inseparability of peak number and noise variance

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Is there any need to estimate noise variance if peak number is known?

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Posterior distribution of energy levels

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

AIST-TohokuU Mathematics for Advanced Materials-OIL (MathAM-OIL)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Posterior distribution of energy levels

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

Estimate of energy levels depends on the setting of noise variance even if the peak number is known

You should estimate noise variance jointly!

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Summary

- Propose the framework that enables the joint estimate of peak number and noise variance from the observed spectrum by modifying the previous framework of Bayesian spectral deconvolution
  - Utilize the relationship between Bayesian inference and statistical physics
  - Show the inseparability of the estimate of peak number and noise variance

<u>ST</u>, K. Nagata and M. Okada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*. 86, 024001, 2017. (arXiv:1607.07590)